

State of New Hampshire Board of Pharmacy
121 South Fruit Street, Suite 401 - Concord, NH 03301-2412
Tel.: (603) 271-2350 Fax: (603) 271-2856
Website: www.oplc.nh.gov/pharmacy/



# **Quality Related Event (QRE) Report**

Date of Repo	ort:	Dat	te of Incident:			Time of In	cident:		☐ A.M. ☐ P.M.
Type of Prescription Involved:	☐ New	Refill	How Received:	☐ Hard Co	py Rx	Telephone	d In	ax or E-Pres	
If Telephoned I Order Was Tak By:		nacist 🔲 (	Certified Pharmacy	<sup>,</sup> Technician	☐ Not Ap	pplicable			
At What Level Was the Event Discovered?	☐ Patier	nt 🔲 Preso	criber  Ph	armacist 🔲	Pharmacy S	Staff 🔲 Ot	her:		
Was the Patien Harmed?	Yes	□ No	Describe Incident Type (Check All That Apply)	<ul><li>□ Wrong Medic</li><li>□ Labels Switc</li><li>□ Communicat</li><li>□ Other:</li></ul>	hed		sread 🔲 A ctions or Usage	ncorrect Dosago Illergy Not Liste	d in Profile
			ific using facts of It sheet if require		ns. Do not i	include name	es or any othe	r patient, pre	scriber or
	Med	ication Ordered				Medicatio	on Actually Disp	<u>pensed</u>	
Name				Nam	a				
Strength				Strei	igth				
Quantity				Quai	ntity				
Directions				Direc	ctions				
	cription of the sem originated:	six stages of fil	ling a prescriptio	n (explained at	end of this	form), at wha	at stage of the	process do	es it appear
	☐ Stage One	☐ Stage	Two 🔲 Stag	e Three	Stage Four	Stag	ge Five	☐ Stage Six	
Whom was the the error relate	initial cause of d to:	Pharmac Other:		Technician	Pres	scriber 🗖 F	Patient _	Presciber's	Office Staff
Did the error reach the patient?	Yes No	Did the patien ingest the medication?	t use / Yes		id this result i reaction to th		Yes No	Patient's Age:	
Was the patient counseled?	☐ Yes☐ No	Is documentar patient couns available?	eling No	Was a "Prospe Drug Re complete	view"	Yes No	Where in the pr was the "Prosp Drug Review" Completed?	ective	During Rx data entry During Rx final verification
Was this a "Ce Processing" P		Yes*	* If Yes, name ar location pharmad	of					

Initial data entry of prescription information was performed by:	☐ Pharmac	cist 🔲 Intern [	Certified Te	chnician		Registered Te	echnician	*		
*If registered technician, has technician been trained on	Yes		registered te	chnician c	omp			Yes		
data entry? Upon completing the data	☐ No		approved da	ta entry tra	ainin	g?		No		
entry, did the computer identify any problem with drug	Yes No		Did the comp technician to			pharmacist or ver-ride"?		Yes No		
interaction, dosage alert, etc.?  During the dispensing process, did the "stock" bottle			Did the "origi	nal" hard a		properintion				
accompany the finished product up to the time of final verification?	Yes No		physically fol	low the ord	der th	rough the		Yes No		
Was the "original" prescription "scanned" into the system?	Yes No			the comm tion? (exar	ercia nple:		m 🗀	Yes No		
How many hours was the pharmacy open the day the incident occurred?			Staffing level pharmacy on of the inciden	at the day				*		
If staffing was reduced / lower than usual, please explain why (i.e. vacation, sick, etc.)				<u>.                                    </u>			- 0			
Number of pharmacists on duty at the time of incident?		Total number of ph hours that day?	narmacist			Total time in hipharmacist "or of incident? (i. RPh on duty at	verlap" or e. more th	an 1		
Number of pharmacy technicians on duty at the time of incident?		Does the PIC (Phar Charge) or staff ph have the ability to scheduling of phar and/or technicians	armacist regulate the macists	Yes No		Scheduling is done by? (title only)				
Does the PIC (Pharmacist-In- Charge) have any input into the scheduling?	Yes No	Did any other store become involved in incident? (i.e. front manager, PDM, etc.	n the store	☐ Yes ☐ No	*	If Yes, identify all involved by title only:				
Total number of prescriptions (new & refill) that were filled at the pharmacy on the date of the incident?	0 - 50 301 - 350	_ 000 _	101 - 150 401 - 500	151 - 501 -				251	- 300	
Any unusual distractions on the	date of the incid	dent? (If so, explain)								
•		, , , ,								
Any other issues that might have	contributed to	the incident? (Be br	ief and specific							

How could the handling of the incident been improved?		
	urrence?	
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar rec		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reco		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reco		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
What system or process changes could help to avoid a similar reci		
Submitter's	Submitter's	Date
		Date
Submitter's Printed Name	Submitter's Signature	
Submitter's	Submitter's Signature	

## Stages of filling a Prescription

- **Stage 1:** Receiving the Prescription
- Stage 2: Data Entry
- Stage 3: Prescription Assembly
- Stage 4: Pharmacist Final Check
- Stage 5: Addressing the Issues
- Stage 6: Delivery to the Patient

#### Stage One:

Receiving the prescription. This is when phone-in, electronic, faxed, or physically delivered prescriptions start their journey culminating with the ingestion of the drug by the patient. At this stage it is important to find out everything that reasonably can be known about the patient. Is this a child or an older adult? Are there significant allergies? If it is a refill request, has the drug been working? What other medications are being used? Later on in the chain of events, the responses to these questions may take on greater importance.

#### **Stage Two:**

The second stage usually involves data entry. A person trained to do so will input information into the computer, and that information will become the electronic record upon which everyone within the pharmacy will subsequently rely. Inaccurate data entry could result in serious consequences to the patient. It is much easier to get this step right than it is to later recognize that an error has occurred.

#### Stage Three:

The third stage centers on prescription assembly. A correct vial or other container must be chosen. The correct label must be affixed to the correct container, with the correct medication inside the container. All of this must be done as a singular process in order to avoid confusing one prescription with another. At the end of this stage, there should be a prescription, a stock container of medication from which the medication was obtained, and a labeled container of medication for the patient. These things should be kept together for each patient, perhaps in a basket that separates them from medications for other patients.

#### **Stage Four:**

The fourth stage requires a final review of the prescription-filling process by the pharmacist. At this point, everything previously done by a pharmacy technician, another pharmacist, or the pharmacist who filled the prescription, is reviewed to ensure accuracy. There is no single "gold standard" for how this is done. Some pharmacists use the NDC number as a way to compare what is in the stock bottle with what is listed on the computer printout. Others shake out and visualize on the cap, a supply of the medication. It is probably important to vary the approach to this final review from time-to-time to prevent bias that leads people to see what they expect to see rather than what is really there. Pharmacists frequently address soft edits at this stage, resolving problems identified by the computer.

### **Stage Five:**

The fifth stage addresses any issues that may arise. These may include queries emanating from the prescription software program, denials by third-party payers, the need for communication with the prescriber or patient, and ambiguities related to the intended course of therapy. It is important to place aside these issues until they are able to be resolved in order to avoid time-consuming backlogs. Other patients should not have to wait unnecessarily for their prescription to be filled while another patient's prescription is awaiting resolution of an issue.

#### Stage Six:

The sixth and final stage is the delivery of the medication, with counseling if necessary or requested, to the patient. This is the time to make sure that auxiliary labeling, if appropriate, has been affixed to the container, that the computer information leaflet has been included, and that the patient being given the drug is the one for whom it has been prescribed. Any particularly critical pieces of information should be emphasized to the patient, and any questions answered.